IN THE SURREY CORONER'S COURT

BEFORE HM SENIOR CORONER FOR SURREY, MR RICHARD TRAVERS
IN THE MATTER OF THE GUILDFORD PUB BOMBINGS 1974

AND IN THE MATTER THE INQUESTS TOUCHING AND CONCERNING THE DEATHS OF:

(1) MR PAUL CRAIG (DECEASED)

- (2) GUARDSMAN WILLIAM FORSYTH (DECEASED)
 - (3) PRIVATE ANN HAMILTON (DECEASED)
 - (4) GUARDSMAN JOHN HUNTER (DECEASED)
 - (5) PRIVATE CAROLINE SLATER (DECEASED)

SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF COUNSEL TO THE INQUESTS For Hearing: Friday 14 January 2022at 10:00

For a list of abbreviations see Annex A below.

Save where otherwise indicate deferences to numbered rules refer to the provisions of the Coroners (Inquests) Rules 2013

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1 These submissions are intended to assisted and the IPsat the upcoming IR on 14

 January 2022 and are subject to any other submissions made and decisions taken at or pursuant to that hearing
- 1.2 The last PIR was on 8 October 20,22 he next PIR is listeftor 25 March 2022 and the substantive inquest hearings are scheduled to take place between 6 June and 15 July 2022.
- 2. Update
- 2.1 Professor Hennessen/asconfirmed receipt of his instruction/dated 12 October 2021 but has also indicated that he will not be able to produce a first draft report by 7 January 2022. HMC has therefore agreed an extension until March 2022

2.2	As trailed at the last PIR, a copy of the rrey AHA Reporton the Implementathe Major Incident Plan following the Explosicon two bombs in Guildford	

- (6) for completeness / context onlya small number of morperipheral witness statement from Tranches 47 of the SP disclosure
- (7) email from former PC Jeremy Splode to HMC dated 1 February 2019 (minus contact details / address)
- (8) correspondence with SECAmb about the recollections of former ambulance service personnel William Edwards and Clive Morris (minus contact details / addresses);
- (9) SP timeline prepateby Op IGIL analysts.
- 2.6 CTI are also progressing work on the following:
 - (1) qhhgtkpi " vq" cuukuv" hoo khgu" y kyj " vj g" r tgr ctcvkqp" 1" vcmkpi " qh' õr gp" portraitö" statements in relation to each of the deceased;
 - (2) reviewing and obtaining a copy of a 1989 Thames Television documentary oil who hat find gu'Qyj gt'Xkevko uö'- which may contain useful evidenæbout of rom deceased and living witnesses (see https://www2.bfi.org.uk/filmstv-people/4ce2b82fa42
- 3. Art.2 of the ECHR
- 3.1 These inquests have thus far proceeded on a traditlamalesorbasis that is to say, with a view to ascertaining who the deceased were and when, where and how (i.e. by what means) they came by their deaths/(2009, s.5(1)), vHMC North Humberside & Scunthorpe, ex p. Jamies[91995] QB 1 (CA).
- 3.2 If the positive procedural investigative obligation conferred on state by art. 2 is or were engaged the inquests should instead proceed on Maiddleton basis, that is to say, with a view to ascertaining who the deceased were and when, where and how (i.e. by what means and in what circumstance they came by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by their deat (6 Jg /TT0 12080 at 15 [0g267 (example) states are supported by the supporte

- but inquests into such deths which are evertheles segun or continue thereafter do have to comply with the jurisprudence on art.2.
- 3.3 It is therefore submitted that HMs hould revisit the engagement of art.2 in conjunction with his consideration of the scope the inquests
- 3.4 In this regard, art.2s engagedn an inquest where

- cpf 'ko o gf kcygö'kp'Rabone(per Lord Dyson at [35] and [3841]) and the question for HMC is whether there wærguablya breach.
- 3.9 In this regard, some including the Hamilton family have questioned whether the general level of PIRA activity in 1974 and g"i tqwr øu" view that the military were legitimatetargets meant the GPB was foreseeable and/or that military personnel should have been ment ff qy pö qt "eqph pgf" q dcttcem for their own protection
- In our submission, the evidence we have seen <u>nototessuggest</u> an arguable breach of the operational limb of g"uvcvgou"r qukkvg"uvduvcpvkvg"qdrki cvkqp"wpf gt"ctv04"hqt"vj g" following reasons: there is no evidence of any advance intelligence abo@PtBend PIRA did not give any advance warning; the attack was the first of its kind, i.e. against civilians and military personnel mixing in a civilian social settitoe attack was the first in a new wave of attacks; the risk was too general and precific to be considered oreal and immediateto vj g"tgs wkukg"ugpug; and, in any event, tending thatart.2 was breachedwould depend ont being shown that general risk of a PIRA attactack c'pcvkqpy kf g"orqentf qy pö"qhtcmlo krkct { "guvcdrkuj o gpvu"yj tqwi j qww"cvtgcuw"3; 96-1975 reasonable anothandatory (We have come to this conclusion without exploring whether art.2 confers obligations on the state innection with off-duty service

- (2) yi g''ōj qy ö''s wgurkqp''o wurk'dg''vangp''vq''o gap''ōd{ "y j av'o gapu'f kf "gaej "f gegaugf "eqo g''d{ "yi gkt"f gayj ö'' *R v HMC North Humberside & Scunthorpex, p. Jamiesor[1995] QB 1 (CA))
- (3) HMC and/or any jury must neexpress any opinion or make any determinations or findings on anyothermatter or frameany

(3) Official securityalerts adviceand warnings

There is no evidence that these were inadequate but limited evidence has been obtained to do do and it is submitted that HMC should explore this issue, particularly given its connection with the arguments about the engagement (or not) of art.2 mentioned aboveo be discusseful of the PIR the light of comments made ippart 4 of the CTI Evidence Overview of the referred to below.

(4) The Horse and Groom Public House

in the light of

(9)

- 7. Admission of May I nquiry findings under r.24
- 7.1 On the face of it, r.24 confers a broad power to admit find images by Uk 'Lqj p'O c { øu' Inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the convictions arising out of the bomb attacks in Guildford and Woolwich in 1974HMC considers them relevant for the purposes of the inquesst
- 7.2 However, it is right to note that on such power existed at the time of the BB or the May Inquiry itself A similar but narrower power was first conferred on coroners with effect from 1 January 2000 by the mer Coroners Act 1988, s.17A and Coroners Rules

ANNEX A: ABBREVIATIONS

õCJ ö PrivateAnn Hamilton;

õCJ Cö Area Health Authority;

octv04ö article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights;

õEIC'422; ö" the Coroners and Justice Act 2009;

õEUö PrivateCaroline Slater;

õEVK6 Counsel to the Inquests;

õF UVNö the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory

õI NF ö the Government Legal Department;

õI RDö the Guildford Pub Bombing 5 October 1974;

õJ I RJ ö the Horse and Groom Public House;

õJ O Eö HM SeniorCoroner for Surrey, Mr Richard Travers;

õJ TCö the Human Rights Act 1998;

õK ö the Irish Guards;

õÆö interested person;

õLJ ö Guardsmanlohn Hunter;

 $\tilde{o}OQF\ddot{o}$ the Ministry of Defence;

õO RUöthe Metropolitan Police Service;

õREö Mr Paul Craig;

õRKTö pre-inquest review hearing;

õRKTCö the Provisional Irish Republican Army;

õTCTFGö the Royal Armament Research and Development Establishment

õTUEJ ö the Royal Surrey County Hospital;

õUŒCo dö South East Coast Ambulance Service;

õUGO Gö the School of Electrical and Mechanical Engineers;

ŏUI ö the Scots Guards;

ŏULO ö Sir John May;

õULO 3ö-õULO 5ö the 1st-3rd reports of SJM;

õURö Surrey Police;

õUURJ ö the Seven Stars Public House:

õTBCö to be confirmed:

õY Hö GuardsmarWilliam Forsyth;

 $\tilde{\mathrm{o}}\mathrm{Y}\,\mathrm{I}\,\,\ddot{\mathrm{o}}$ the Welsh Guards;

õY TCEö y g''Y qo gpøu'Tq{cn'Cto {''Eqtru0

IN THE SURREY CORONER'S COURT

BEFORE HM SENIOR CORONER FOR SURREY, MR RICHARD TRAVERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUILDFORD PUB BOMBINGS 1974

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUESTS TOUCHING AND CONCERNING THE DEATHS OF:

- (1) MR PAUL CRAIG (DECEASED)
- (2) GUARDSMAN WILLIAM FORSYTH (DECEASED)
- (3) PRIVATE ANN HAMILTON (DECEASED)
 - (4) GUARDSMAN JOHN HUNTER (DECEASED)
 - (5) PRIVATE CAROLINE SLATER (DECEASED)

SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF COUNSEL TO THE INQUESTS

For Hearing: Friday 14 January 2022 at 1000

Instructing Solicitor

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL Legal Department County Hall Penrhyn Road Kingston upon Thames Surrey KT1 2DN

DX: 31509 (KINGSTON) Tel: 020 8541 9072 Fax: 0208541 9005

Email: ann.charlton@surreycc.gov.uk

Client Contact

SARAH CHURCH
PA to HM Coroner for Surrey
HM Coroner's Court
Station Approach
Woking
Surrey GU22 7AP

Tel: 01483 637 628

Email: sarah.church@surrey.pnn.police.uk

Counsel's Ref: 211703.OS