Surrey Schools Forum Minutes of Meeting

Tuesday 3 October 2023 1pm on Teams

Agreed by the Forum at their meeting on Tuesday 9 January 2024

Present

С	h	а	i	r
-	••	~	-	•

Jack Mayhew	Learning Partners MAT	Academy member		
Joint Vice-Chairs				
Kate Keane	Ewell Grove Primary	Primary Head		
Justin Price	Freemantles School	Special school head		
Other school and academy members:				
Donna Harwood-Duffy	Dorking Nursery school	Maintained nursery sch rep		
Clare McConnell	Bisley Primary School	Primary Head		
Zoe Johnson-Walker	The Winston Churchill School	Secondary Head		
Lisa Kent	Manor Mead and Walton Leigh	Schools (special governor)		
Sir Andrew Carter	South Farnham Educ Trust	Academy member		
Elaine Cooper	SWAN academy trust	Academy member		
Jo Hastings	Ottershaw Infant and Junior	Academy member		
Karyn Hing	Westfield School	Academy member		
Kerry Oakley	Carrington School	Academy member		
Sue Wardlow	Greensand MAT	Academy member		
John Winter	Weydon MAT	Academy member		
David Euridge	Reigate Valley/Wey Valley	AP academy member		

Non-school members

Sarah Porter	Private, voluntary and independent nurseries			
Folasadi Afolabi	Unions: Education Joint Committee			
Tamsin Honeybourne	Unions: Education Joint Committee			
Matthew Rixson	Guildford Diocese (Church of England)			
Local Authority Officers				
Liz Mills (LM)	Director–Education and Lifelong Learning			
Mary Burguieres	Assistant Director (Systems and Transformation)			
Carol Savedra (CS)	Assistant Director-SEND, Education, Early Years			

overrepresented. However, the situation may need to be reviewed as a result of the extension of funded entitlement to new age groups.

4 Update on DSG July 2023 schools funding announcements including growing schools/falling roll issues

High needs block ⊤

of primary schools and 81% of secondary schools. The proposal was made in case it was needed, as it was unlikely that the LA would have time to re-consult when it knew the outcome of the application to the Secretary of State.

The Forum supported the proposal without further discussion.

Affordability adjustments when data is updated in December (Q10/11)

Changes to the proposed formula are usually needed when data is updated in December, in order to ensure that the formula is affordable if the level of additional need increases. The LA had asked schools, in effect, to choose from four options. Ultimately the LA recommendation (a) for a small additional reduction in formula rates and then a ceiling on per pupil gains was the most popular, but it had not commanded a huge majority (55% of primary schools and 67% of secondary) aTm0 g3() a)-2(Tm0 g3() a)-2(Tm0 g3) e)-3()8(ox fo) moQqt (e)-3(d)d

c) De-delegation (question 13)

Behaviour support (primary sector only)

De-delegation had been supported by 36 maintained primary schools and opposed by 8.

The sole representative of maintained primary schools present¹ at that point approved the proposal, commenting that she shouldn't go against the consensus.

Union facilities

De-delegation had been supported by 65% of primary schools expressing a view and supported by 60% of maintained secondary schools expressing a view (3-2).

The representative of maintained primary schools approved the proposal.

The representative of maintained secondary schools opposed the proposal, commenting that she had never supported it and that her school provided good local union representation.

LM expressed concerns at the impact on possible future response rates of taking a view opposed to the majority response, noting that it was already difficult to obtain responses to the consultation from headteachers. She agreed that the issue concerned a relatively small sum overall but saw it as an important service.

Officers clarified that separate decisions were made for maintained primary and secondary schools. If de-delegation was approved for maintained secondary schools, individual maintained secondary schools had no choice. If de-delegation was not approved, individual maintained secondary schools would be able to buy in, as academies already could, so the three schools supporting de-delegation would still be able to buy in.

DG confirmed that the vote could be deferred if desired. It was noted that a deferral need not change the outcome.

The Chair agreed to defer the vote to allow the opportunity for wider engagement with the sector.

Other special staff costs

De-delegation had been supported by 73% of maintained primary schools expressing a view and by 80% of maintained secondary schools expressing a view.

Representatives of maintained primary schools (2) and of maintained secondary schools (1) separately approved de-delegation.

¹ One primary representative present at this stage, two later

Vice-Chairs

DG reminded members of the need to elect/re-elect Vice-Chairs. Nomination forms had been circulated with the meeting papers.

Future meetings

The Chair suggested that one "in-person" meeting a year was valuable, but the relatively low attendance at the July meeting had been noted. It was agreed that the May meeting should be "in person" and that the July meeting should be virtual.